




(16	90			] [																			
				] [					] [				] [						u				
							П	П	П	ПГ	7 [	ΙП	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П
																П	П	П	Ш	П	П	П	
ЦЦ																П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П
																] [			] [	] [			
														] [									
	П	П	П	П	ПГ	п	П	П	П	ПГ	٦П	П	П	П	пι	٦ ٦	7	ПГ	٦ ١	٦ ٦	٦		

























#### ПППП













ппппппп 1978 🛘 🖽 8---9、29 🗇 🖽 





0     0
′
1980 🛮 🖽 361 🖨 🖽



пппппппп





### 





										] [							
				∐"				"∐			] [						
													$\dashv$	] [			
																1	]
	П	П	П	7 M	1П	264	ιП	$\Pi^{\mathbb{Q}}$	)								


















□ □ 586 □ □













				] [ ] [ ] [	. [ ] . ] [		] ] ]	] [       						
						] [	] [							










 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0






































\_\_\_\_\_ 





























129-	
0 0 0 0 126-	






























 $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$  128  $\Pi$   $\Pi$ 































































































	Ξ															)	
		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_		








u					"													
							] [											
										] [	] [	(ir	niti	al	sit	uai	tio	1)
							] [	] [										
							] [											
									] [				]					














ППП


















ПП 560 $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$ 
















































```
пппппп
\square \square \square \square 1975 \square \square 550 \square \square
```










ПП 









1975 🛮 🖽 550 🖺 🖽 




































































































0 0 0" 0	)           									     										
						]					] [] ] []									
						]				0 ( 0 ( 0 ( 0 (	]		0 ] [ ] 0	 	 	0 ] [ ]	 	 	]	
	ПГ	П	пп	ПП	пП	ПГ	ПГ	пп	П	ПГ	1 [	П	П	7 1	П	П	П	П	П	П



ПП 











ППП 











## 


















































































 $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$  103  $\Pi$   $\Pi$ 



пппппп 




ППП 






























```
ппппп
```
















 $\Pi \Pi \Pi = 264 \Pi \Pi \Pi$ 






















## 










































































 $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$   $\Pi$  136  $\Pi$   $\Pi$ 


































```
\Pi \Pi 16 \Pi \Pi
```















ППП 


































ΠП 
















ППП 










```
ПП
```


























ППП 







										197         	77   	ь[] ] [ ] [	12				
[] (1	  97	П 7 <b>7</b> [			12												<i>"</i>
				 	0 ( 0 ( 0 (		 				] [ ] [ ] [						
								] []   []   []						 ] [		]	
_									] [ ] [ ]	] [ ] [	] [ ] [						













































0	
□ □ □ □ □ □1967 □ □ 462466 □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
	П





















565 □ □ 




 $"\ \, 0\ \, 0"\ \, 0\$ . ה ת חת "ה" ת חת חת חת חת "ח" וחו" החו ПП














	_	
_		


























































```
\Pi \Pi \Pi 416 \Pi \Pi
           \  \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \ \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, \, 0 \ \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \  \, 0 \ \,
  \Pi \Pi 27.33 \Pi \Pi
```




пппп 







```
\Box 1739 \Box \Box 494—495 \Box \Box
        "\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  \, 0\  
 ПП
```

























































 $\square$   $\square$  543  $\square$   $\square$ пппппп 























































③ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ 30—32,446—451,560 □ □



- - $\begin{picture}(20,10) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(0$










- ① [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ 560 [ ] [
- ② □□□□ 561□□













① [ ] [ ] [ ] 10 [ ]


② □□□□□□□□□ 560□□

① □ □ □ □ 561 □ □





<sup>3 | | 32 | |</sup> 






_																	-		
			"														"(	D <sub>o</sub>	
		$\blacksquare$			] [	] [	] [	] [	] [	] [									
					] [			] [	] [	] [	] [	] [							
					] [			] [	] [	] [	] [	] [							
				] [	] [	] [	] [	] [				] [	] [						
												] [		] (		] [		]	
				] [	Π													2	
									] [	] [	] [	] •							









② □□□□ 265□□

<sup>3</sup> D D D 268 D D

**④** □ □ □ □ 264 □ □


- ② ПППП 147 ПП
- ③ 🛮 🗎 🖽 157 🖺



 $"\ \, 0\$ 

① [ ] [ ] . [ ] 194—195 [ ]








 $\circ$ 










												"						
								] [			] <b>"</b>							
								] [						"				
						"	1											
										"								
_	 -										-							
								] [	] [									
																"		
								] [									u	
								] [										
		90.(	2															
									$\Box$		] [	] [	] [					
								] [										
		u						" [	] [									
								] [										

















① 🛮 🗎 🗎 388—389 🖺 🗎






- ① □ □ □ □ 374 □ □
- $\bigcirc \hspace{0.4cm} \bigcirc \hspace{0.4c$

- ⑤ [1957]











□ □ □ □ **□'** □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ 229—230 □ □


③ □ □ □ □ □ □ 304 □ □









② □ □ □ □ 20-22、48-52、120、577-587 □ □












			u																	
				"∐					$\Box$	] [								"		
					] [	] [	] [	] [					 	_		] [	] [			
	_		-										"	1						
П	П	П	П	ПП	П	П	П	П	П											

## ФППППППППППППП 53 ПП









① □ □ □ □ 10、15、215、224、254 □ □

③ □□□□ 254□□

① □ □ □ □ 478 □ □ 186 □ 1986 □ □



																					1
													] [		] [						
													] [	] [							
					1	9			30	0 [	]	40									
														(2							
													] [	] [							
														] [							
													] [	] [							
													] [								
										"			] [	] ′	' [						
							3														
													] [	] [							
								u													
	"[														] [	] [	] [	] [	] [	Π	
													] [	] [	] [						



																					"	1
								] [														
												_										
			[																			
								] [														
									] [													
									] [													
																	] [					
																			_			
																	-				] [	
П	П	П	ПΓ	П	ПГ	пп	П	٦г	1 [	П	П	П "	П	П	ПГ	П	П	П	П	П	"	П




```
\Pi - - - \Pi \Pi \Pi
```

① □ □ □ □ 216 □ □

- ② □□□□□ 218□□
- ③ 🛮 🗎 🖺 218—219 🖺










① [ ] [ ] [ ] 157 [ ]







□ □ □ □ Part I □
Ackerman, B. A. 1980. Social Justice in the Liberal State New Haven Arendt, H. 1958. The Human Condition Chicago Chica
No. 5,70—82] [
Bickel, A.M. 1975. The Morality of Consent, New Haven



Dworkin, R. 1977a. Taking Rights Seriously, London
1977b. Why Bakke has no case, New York Review of Books (November
10), 11—150 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1978. Liberalism. In Public and Private Morality [ed. S. Hampshire,
pp. 113—143. Cambridge [
Feinberg, J. 1970. Doing and Deserving, Princeton [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [
Frankfurt, H. 1971. Freedom of the will and the concept of a person, Jour-
nal of Philosophy 68, 5—20 🛮 🖺 🗎 🖺 🖺 🖺 🗎 🖺 🖺 🖺 🖺 🖺 ")
Fried, C. 1978. Right and Wrong, Cambridge [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
1981. Contract as Promise, Cambridge 🛮 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎
Hampshire, S. 1959. Thought and Action
1972. Spinoza and the idea of freedom. In Freedom of Mind, pp.
183—209. London 🛮 🗎 🗎 📅 🖂 🗎 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂
1977. Two Theories of Morality, Oxford
1978. Morality and pessimism. In Public and Private Morality, ed. S.
Hampshire, pp. 1—22. Cambridge 🔲 🗎 🗎 🗎 🖺 🖺 🖺 🖺 🖺
Hart, H. L. A. 1979. Between utility and rights. In The Idea of Freedom
ed. A. Ryan, pp. 77—98. Oxford
Hegel, G. W. F. 1807. Phenomenology of Spirit, translated by A. V.
Miller, 1977. Oxford
1821. Philosophy of Right, translated by T. M. Knox, 1952. London
1899. The Philosophy of History, translated by J. Sibree, 1956. New
York
Hume, D. 1739. A Treatise of Human Nature 2nd edn., ed. L.A. Selby-



Bigge, 1978. Oxford 🛮 🗎 🖫 🗎 🗎 🗎
1777. An Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Morals 1966 edn, La
Salle, Illinois 🛮 🗎 🗬 🗬 🗬 🗬
Kant, I. 1781. Critique of Pure Reason 1st edn, translated by N. Kemp
Smith, 1929. London 🛮 🗎 🗖 🗖 🗖 🗖 🗖 🗖 🗖 🗖
1785. Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals [] translated by H. J.
Paton, 1956. New York
1787. Critique of Pure Reason, 2nd edn, translated by N. Kemp
Smith, 1929. London 🛛 🗎 🗖 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎
1788. Critique of Practical Reason [] translated by L. W. Beck, 1956.
Indianapolis
1793. On the common saying: 'this may be true in theory, but it does
not apply in practice'. In Kant's Political Writings, ed. H. Reiss,
1970, pp. 61—92. Cambridge [] [ [ [ [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [
1797. The Metaphysical Elements of Justice   translated by J. Ladd,
1965. Indianapolis 🛮 🗎 🗖 🗖 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎
Kronman, A. 1980. Contract law and distributive justice, Yale Law Journal
1989, 472—511 🗌 🗎 🗎 🗂 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂
Locke, J. 1690. Treatise of Civil Government [] ed. C. L. Sherman, 1937.
New York
Lyons, D. n.d. Nature and soundness of the contract and coherence argu-
ments. In Reading Rawls [ed. N. Daniels, pp. 141-168. New York
MacIntyre, A. 1967. Egoism and Altruism. In Encyclopedia of Philosophy,
ed. P. Edwards, vol. ii, pp. 462—466. New York [] [] [] [] [] []
1981. After Virtue, Notre Dame
Marx , K. Early Writings  Translated by R. Livingstone and G. Benton,



1975. Harmondsworth
Mill, J.S. 1849. On Liberty. In The Utilitarians [1973, pp. 473—600]
Garden City. 🛮 🗎 🗖 🗖 🗎 🗎 🗎
1863. Utilitarianism. In The Utilitarians, 1973, pp. 399—472
Murdoch, I. 1970. The idea of perfection. In The Sovereignty of Good, pp.
1—45. London [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [
Nagel, T. 1973. Rawls on justice. In Reading Rawls [] ed. N. Daniels, pp
1—15. New York
Nozick, R. 1972. Coercion. In Philosophy   Politics   and Society, 4th se
ries, eds P. Laslett, W.G. Runciman, and Q. Skinner, pp. 101-135.
1974. Anarchy [] State, and Utopia [] New York [] [] [] [] [] [] []
Oakeshott, M. 1962. Rationalism in Politics, London [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Pitkin [] H. 1965. Obligation and Consent-I, American Political Science Re-
view 66, 990—999 🛮 🗎 🖺 🖺 🗎 🗎 🖂 🖂 🖺
Rawls, J. 1971. A Theory of Justice, Oxford
1972. Reply to Lyons and Teitelman, Journal of Philosophy 69, 556-
5570 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1975. Fairness to goodness, <i>Philosophical Review</i> 84, 536—554
1977. The basic structure as subject, American Philosophical Quarterly
14, 159—1650 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1979. A well-ordered society. In Philosophy Politics and Society, 5th
series, eds P. Laslett and J. Fishkin, pp. 6—20. Oxford
1980. Kantian constructivism in moral theory, Journal of Philosophy




77, 515—572 🛮 🗎 🗖 🗖 🗖 🗷 🗷 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 Theologico-Political Treatise 📋 translated by R. H. M.
Elwes, 1951. New York
1677. The Ethics Translated by R. H. M. Elwes. In The Rationalists,
1960, pp. 179—408. Garden City [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Strauss, L. 1953. Natural Right and History, Chicago
Taylor, C. 1975. <i>Hegel</i> [Cambridge] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
1977. What is human agency? In The Self: Psychological and Philo-
sophical Issues [ed. T. Mischel, pp. 103—135. Oxford [ ] [ ] [ ]
Unger, R. 1975. Knowledge and Politics, New York
Wolin, S. 1960. Politics and Vision Boston   Boston   Description   Description   Boston   Description   Descripti
□ □ □ □ Part Ⅱ □
The following works offer critical responses to the first edition of Liberalism
and the Limits of Justice, or discussion of related issues:
Allen, Jonathan. 1992. Liberals, communitarians, and political theory,
South African Journal of Philosophy 11:77—91 \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \
Avineri, Shlomo, and Avner de-Shalit, eds. 1992. Communitarians and In-
dividualism. Oxford; Oxford University Press.
Badhwar, Neera K. 1996. Moral agency, commitment, and impartiality. In

The Communitarian Challenge to Liberalism, ed. Ellen Frankel Paul,



Fred D. Miller, Jr. [] and Jeffrey Paul, pp. 1—26. Cambridge: Cam-
bridge University Press.
Baker, C. Edwin. 1985. Sandel on Rawls, University of Pennsylvania Law
Review 133:895—928. 🗌 🗎 🗎 🖺 🖺 🗎 🗎 🗎 🖺 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂
Bell, Daniel. 1993. Communitarianism and Its Critics. Oxford: Clarendon
Press. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Benhabib, Sheyla. 1992. Autonomy, modernity and community: Communi-
tarianism and critical social theory in dialogue. In Situating the Self:
Gender, Community, and Postmodernism in Contemporary Ethics,
pp. 68—88. New York: Routledge. [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Berten, André, Pablo da Silveira, and Hervé Pourtois, eds. 1997. Libéraux
et Communautariens. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France 🛛 🗎 🗎
Borgmann, Albert. 1989. Technology and the crisis of liberalism: Reflections
on Michael J. Sandel's work. In Technological Transformation [ Con-
textual and Conceptual Implications [] ed. Edmund F. Byrne and Joseph
C. Pitt, pp. 105—122. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. 🛘 🗎 🗎
Buchanan, Allen E. 1989. Assessing the communitarian critique of liberalism,
Ethics 99:852—882.
□ ")
Caney, Simon. 1991. Sandel's critique of the primacy of justice: A liberal re-
joinder, British Journal of Political Science 21:511—522.
1992. Liberalism and communitarianism: A misconceived debate, Politi-
cal Studies 40;273—290.
0 0 0 "0
Delaney, C.F., ed. 1994. The Liberalism-Communitarianism Debate. Lan-







subject, First Things 2:36—41 \[ \] □ "□ Gill, Emily R. 1986. Goods, virtues, and the constitution of the self. In Liberals on Liberalism, ed. Alfonso J. Damico, pp. 111-128. To-Gilman, James E. 1994. Compassion and public covenant: Christian faith in public life, Journal of Church and State 36:747-771.  $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$ Gutmann, Amy. 1985. Communitarian critics of liberalism, Philosophy and  $\square$  " $\square$ Hekman, Susan. 1992. The embodiment of the subject: Feminism and the communitarian critique of liberalism, Journal of Politics 54: 1098-Hirsch, H. N. 1986. The threnody of liberalism, Political Theory 14:423-Holmes, Stephen. 1993. The Anatomy of Antiliberalism. Cambridge, Honig, Bonnie. 1993. Political Theory and the Displacement of Politics. Ithaca, N. Y.: Cornell University Press. [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] Iroegbu, Pantaleon. 1991. La pensée de Rawls face au défi communautarien, Revue Philosophique de Louvain 89:113-128.  $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$ Kukathas, Chandran. 1996. Liberalism, communitarianism, and political community. In The Communitarian Challenge to Liberalism, ed. Ellen Frankel Paul, Fred D. Miller, Jr., and Jeffrey Paul, pp. 105-136. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]



## Kukathas, Chandran, and Philip Pettit. 1990. Rawls: A Theory of Justice and its Critics. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press. ппппп Kymlicka, Will. 1988. Liberalism and communitarianism, Canadian Journal 1988. Rawls on teleology and deontology, Philosophy and Public Af-1989. Liberalism, Community and Culture. Oxford: Clarendon Press. 1990. Contemporary Political Philosophy: An Introduction. Oxford: Clarendon Press. Larmore, Charles E. 1987. Patterns of Moral Complexity. Cambridge: Lasch, Christopher. 1986. The communitarian critique of liberalism, Sound-Lund, William R. 1993. Communitarian politics and the problem of equality, Political Research Quarterly 46:577—601. [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] Macedo, Stephen. 1990. Liberal Virtues: Citizenship, Virtue, and Community in Liberal Constitutionalism. Oxford: Clarendon Press. ппп MacIntyre, Alasdair. 1984. Is patriotism a virtue? Findley Lecture, Univer-1988. Whose Justice? Which Rationality? Notre Dame, Ind.: University of Notre Dame Press. Meyer, Michael J. 1992. Rights between friends, Journal of Philosophy 89:

467—484. 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗂 🗖 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗂



Miller, David. 1989. In what sense must socialism be communitarian? Social
Philosophy and Policy 6:57—73.
Moore, Michael S. 1989. Sandelian antiliberalism, California Law Re-
view77:539—551 🛮 🗘 🖺 🗘 🔻 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂
Mosher, Michael A. 1991. Boundary revisions: The deconstruction of moral
personality in Rawls, Nozick, Sandel and Parfit, Political Studies 39:
287—303.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Mouffe, Chantal. 1988. American liberalism and its critics: Rawls, Taylor,
Sandel and Walzer, Praxis International 8:193-206. [ ] [ ] [ ]
Mulhall, Stephen. 1987. The theoretical foundations of liberalism, Archives
Européennes de Sociologie 28: 269—295. 🗆 🗎 🗎 🖺 🖺 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎
□ "□
Mulhall, Stephen, and Adam Swift. 1992. Liberals and Communitarians.
Oxford:Blackwell.
1993. Liberalisms and communitarianisms: Whose misconception? Political
Studies 41:650—665. □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □
Neal, Patrick. 1987. A liberal theory of the good? Canadian Journal of Phi-
losophy17:567—582 [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [
Okin, Susan, 1989. Justice, Gender, and the Family. New York: Basic
Books.
Paul, Ellen Frankel, Fred D. Miller, Jr., and Jeffrey Paul, eds. 1996. The
Communitarian Challenge to Liberalism. Cambridge: Cambridge Uni-
versity Press.
Paul, Jeffrey, and Fred D. Miller, Jr. Communitarian and liberal theories of



the good, Review of Metaphysics 43:803—831.
Post, Robert. 1989. Tradition, the self, and substantive due process: A com-
ment on Michael Sandel, <i>California Law Review</i> 77:553—560.
Rasmussen, David, ed. 1990. Universalism vs. Communitarianism [ Con-
temporary Debates in Ethics. Cambridge, Mass.   MIT Press.
Rawls, John. 1985. Justice as fairness: Political not metaphysical, Philoso-
phy and Public Affairs14:223—251 [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
1987. The idea of an overlapping consensus, Oxford Journal of Legal
Studies7:1—25 [
1987. The priority of right and ideas of the good, Philosophy and Public
Affairs 17:251—276. [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [
1993. Political Liberalism. New York; Columbia University Press.
Regan, Milton C., Jr. 1985. Community and justice in constitutional theory.
Wisconsin Law Review 1073—1133
0 0 "0
Rorty, Richard. 1988. The priority of democracy to philosophy. In The Vir-
ginia Statute of Religious Freedom, ed. Merrill D. Peterson and Robert
C. Vaughan, pp. 257—282. Cambridge; Cambridge University Press.
Rosenblum, Nancy L. 1987. Another Liberalism Romanticism and the
Reconstruction of Liberal Thought. Cambridge, Mass.   Harvard Uni-
versity Press 🛮 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎
Rosenblum, Nancy L., ed. 1989. Liberalism and the Moral Life. Cam-





Taylor, Charles. 1985. Philosophical Papers. 2 vols. Cambridge; Cambridge
University Press.
1987. Cross-purposes; The liberal-communitarian debate. In Liberalism
and the Moral Life, ed. Nancy L. Rosenblum, pp. 159-182. Cam-
bridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press. 🛮 🗎 🖺 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎
1989. Sources of the Self: The Making of the Modern Identity. Cam-
bridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
Tessman, Lisa. 1995. Who are my people? Communitarianism and the inter-
locking of oppressions, International Studies in Philosophy 27: 105-
$117 \ \square \ $
Thigpen, Robert B., and Lyle A. Downing. 1987. Liberalism and the com-
munitarian critique, American Journal of Political Science 31:637—
Tomasi, John. 1991. Individual rights and community virtues, Ethics 1010
521—536.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1994. Community in the minimal state, Critical Review 80285-296.
Urbinati, Nadia. 1992. Il ritorno alla comunità nella filosofia Americana con-
temporanea, Giornale Critico Della Filosofia Italiana 12:518—535.
Velek, Josef. 1995. Communitarian criticism of the liberal self and liberal
community according to Michael Sandel, Filosoficky Casopis 43:265—
271. 0 0 0 0 0 " 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Waldron, Jeremy. 1988. When justice replaces affection: The need for
rights, Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy 11:625—647.



1993. Particular values and critical morality. In Liberal Rights pp.
168—202. Cambridge; Cambridge University Press.
Wallach, John R. 1987. Liberals, communitarians, and the tasks of political
theory, Political Theory 15:581—611
Walzer, Michael. 1983. Spheres of Justice [] A Defense of Pluralism and E-
quality. New York: Basic Books.
1990. The communitarian critique of liberalism, Political Theory 18 0
6240 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Young, Iris M. 1986. The ideal of community and the politics of difference,
Social Theory and Practice 12:1—26.



Α Ackerman, B.  $\Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi$ affirmative action  $\Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 135-147,165 \square$ agency [ ] [ ] [ ] [22, 56—59, 65, 84, 93, 94, 121, 134, 152—164, 172, 176,177—180,183 □ —voluntarist notion of  $\Pi \Pi 22,58-59,117,121$ —  $122.154.162 - 164 \square$ agreement, with a person/to a proposition arbitrariness  $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$  17, 21, 23, 68—71, 77, 81, 82—83, 85, 90—91, 93—101,113—115,119,124—126,137,141—142,144,145,146,156, 164,166,167,180 Archimedean point  $\Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 17, 21, 23, 68-71, 77, 81, 82-83, 85,$ 90-91,93-101,113-115,119,124-126,137,141-142,144,145,

146,156,164,166,167,180 ☐
Arendt, H. ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

П



```
Aristotle \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 86.87 \Pi
autonomy \Pi \Pi G_{0}, 9, 11-12, 14, 19-20, 22, 37, 38, 39, 94-95, 100,
  106-107, 108, 112, 114, 120, 122, 124, 126, 164, 176, 178, 182
  " ПП"
                    В
Barker, E. \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi
Beer, S. | | | | | | | | | | | |
Bell, D. [73,75,94,95]
181,183
Bickel, A. □ □ □ □ □ □ 16 □ □ □
                    C
categorical imperative
choice \Box \Box \Box 9.10.24-25.45.63 \Box \Box \Box \Box 94-95.102-103.104.119-
  120, 121, 122—125, 127, 128, 154, 165—166, 167, 176—178, 180—181
  П
181
141,143—147,149,150—151,178
143-146.151 □
community \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 14,53,60-65,80-82,96,97-98,97
                                     ПП
```



```
178.183 □
101,149-152,161,172,173
-good of \square \square \square \square \square \square \square 53,65,147-149,161 \square
—instrumental conception of \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 148—151 \Pi
—justice and \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 172—174,183 \Pi
-Rawls' theory of [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ 61-65,134-135,147-152
          П
consequentialism
constructivism \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 176—178 \Box
contingency \Box \Box \Box \Box 17,23,24,26-28,36-40,68-71,74,76,77-78,
          85, 93—94, 101, 113—115, 117—120, 124—126, 156, 164, 165, 166,
          167,170,178,180 □
contract theory \[ \Bigcap \Bi
contract □ □ □ 105—132,156 □
—hypothetical \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 105,111-113,118,119-120,122-123,156-
          157
courage \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] 31,32,33\[ \] -34,35 \[ \]
                                                                            D
deontology \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ 3\]-14, 15, 17\]-19, 21\]-24, 30, 35\]-40, 52, 53, 59,
         64-65,66,103,117,118,121,122,122,147,152,155,156,157,174,
         175—183
```



```
difference principle \( \Bigcup \Bigcu
                                       104,114,115 🔲 🗎 🗎 134,141,144,149,150,178
     dispossession □ □ □ 56-58,153 □
     Dworkin, R. \[ \Bigcup \Bigcup
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  ППП
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       E
     entitlement \square \square \square \square \square \square 71—72,82,85—88,96,98—102,137,178
   epistemological priority \( \Pi \) \
   equal liberty \[ \Bigcap \Bigc
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           П
   equality \Pi \Pi 10,67-77,82-83,93-94,114 \square
      П
      F
fairness \[ \] \[ \] 1, 16, 24, 25, 33, 75, 106, 107—109, 110—111, 121, 123—
                              127,156—157 □
      —justice as \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 15, 18, 21, 27, 40, 52, 61, 72—73, 80—81, 82, 86—
                                   87,92,110—111,120,122—126,131,147,150,155,156,158,165,
                                      166—167,171,173—174
   family \[ \B1,33\)\_34,69,169 \[ \B1\]
   Feinberg, J 🔲 🗎 🗎 83—84,88,90 🖺 🖺 🗎 199 🖺
```



```
fraternity \Pi \Pi \Pi 32.34 - 35 \Pi
  Fried, C. ∏ ∏ ∏10,106 □
 Friedman, M. . . . ,205,210 .
  friendship \[ \Bigcap 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            G
good \square \square \square 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9-10, 12, 13, 16, 17-19, 51, 54-55, 60, 62,
                                      63-64,65,67,76,94,116-117,119,120,123,133,134-135,138,
                                      147—149, 154—157, 161—167, 170—171, 173—174, 177, 179, 181—
                                      182.183 □
 —of community \[ \Bigcap \Bigc
154—157,165—167,173—174
  -Rawls' conception of \[ \Bigcup \Bigc
                                        154—157,161—167,170—171,182
  —status of [ ] [ ] [ ] 165—167 [
  —thin theory of \[ \Bigcap \Bi
 Grossman, A. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        H
 Hart, H. L. A. 165
Hayek, F. [ ] [ 184 [
hedonism 🔲 🗎 🗎 🗎 21 🖺
heteronomy [ ] [7,9,36,39,114—115,126,164,165 ]
  Hobbes, T. \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box
 homosexuality 🛮 🗎 🗘 207—210 🖟
```



```
Hulbert, M. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
human agency
Humboldt, W. von . ,81 .
Hume, D. \square \square \square 12—14,29,30,31,32,35—36,40,168—169,171,172
                                                                                                                                                       I
                 idealism
identity \( \Bigcap \) \( \Big
81,101,103,138,144,147—152
21,54-55,147,159-161
                                                                            J
justice
-circumstances of \square \square \square \square \square \square \square 14,28-46,52,60-61\square
—and community 🔲 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 172—174,183 🗎
—distributive ∏ ∏ ∏ ∏ [66—103,90 □ □ □ □ 138,139 □
65,168
157,165—167,173—174
                          —Hume on
—increase in [ ] [ ] [ ] [1,11,14,181—182 [
—Mill on □□□□□□2,3—5,5□□□□
36, 40, 49, 55, 59, 64, 65, 66, 78, 88—90, 133, 155, 168—172, 177, 178,
```



182 □  $108-109.112.121.125.126-127 \, \Pi$ -Rawls' derivation of principles 🔲 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 1 24-27.38-39,42-43,105,116-132 -remedial aspect of \[ \] \[ \ 99.168—171.175 🛮 🖽 🗇 🖺 " -voluntarist account of \[ \bigcap \Bi K Kant, I.  $\square$   $\square$   $\square$  1—15,23—24,28,35—40,49,54,57  $\Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 63-64,66$ ,  $78.105.117 - 120.131.132.147.156 \square \square \square 166.168.175 - 176.$ 189,191—192,202 □ Kronman, A. | | | | ,114 | | L law —of nature □ □ □ □116—117,119 □ —primacy of [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] liberal equality \( \Bigcup \B liberal public reason, limits of \[ \Bigcap \B П

liberalism  $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$  1—15,21—24,147—148,155,183  $\Box$ 



```
assessing political \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 195-218 \Pi
95,100,116-117,118-119,134,144,148,155,165,176
175—178 □
176.189
—Locke's □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ 116—119 □
—Mill's \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 2-4,189 \square
—political vs. comprehensive \( \Bigcup \) \
          П
                        -Rawls'
          95,116—120,147—148,176,184—218
Lincon-Douglas debates \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi .197-202 \square
Locke, J. \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] 2,66,105,116—119 \[ \]
Lyons, D. | | | | | | | | 104 | |
                                                                            M
MacIntyre, A. □ □ □ □ IX, 147,186 □
merit \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] 72,135,136\[ \] 137,138\[ \] 139,140 \[ \]
meritocracy \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 67-69,67 \Box \Box \Box \Box 70,72-77,82-83,93-94,
          114,136 - 137,138 - 139,142
120—122,153,156—157,168—172,182—183
moral law □ □ □ □ 2-3,6-7,24,37,38,40,118-120.176 □
114—115,154—157,167
```



```
moral questions, bracketing
                    □ □196--202 □
  moral subject \( \Bigcap \Bigc
mutual disinterests \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 29.42.44-46.48.54-55.60-61.168.169
                     П
                                                                                                                                                              N
Nagel, T. \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 60 \Pi
natural law \( \Bigcap \Bigcap
0
55,60-61,63-64,66,87,89,90,102-103,104-105,109,111,112,
                     113,117,120,121-132,147,154,168,176-177,178
54-55,60-61,168
ownership \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi'' \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi''
                                                                                                                                                            Р
 Paley, W. ☐ ☐ ☐ 146 ☐
 27-28,179-183
-Rawls' \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square 19-23,47-65,66,78-80,85,86,87,92,93,
                     94-95,100-101,104,120-122,131,133,134,138-139,144,160-
                      161.191—195
persons [ [" [ [ ] " [ ] [ ] "
```



```
П
                  \sqcap \sqcap, 12,124,133,134,140,166—167,168,170,171,172,173 \sqcap
  —plurality of \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 16.50—53.55.63.67.80.171 \Box
 —plurality of, prior to their unity
                 50-53,86,133,140,151
 plurality \( \Bigcap \
   possession \[ \Bigcap \Bigcap 54-59, 66, 77-86, 92-98, 97 \Bigcap \Bigcap \Bigcap \Bigcap \Bigcap \Bigcap 100, 134, 141,
            142—147,176 □
  92-93,95,100,101,134,139,178
 —and dispossession \square \square \square \square \square \square \square 56—58,153 \square
 —in distancing sense \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 20.54-59.62.85.152 \square
 —as guardianship 🔲 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🖂 82,96—97,102,144 🗎
 —as ownership \Box B2,96,102,103,144 \Box
 —subject of 🔲 🗎 🖂 "
 preferential treatment \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 135—147,149,165 \Box
 priority
                                                            \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 7-9,12-13,16-17,20-21,22-
-epistemological
                23,53,64,115—116,12—122,156—157,168—172,182—183
-moral \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 2-7, 16, 17-20, 22-23, 64, 76-77, 88-90,
                 114-115,154-157,167
of procedure \[ \begin{align*} \Boxed{\text{D}} \Boxed{\t
```



```
procedural justice \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 108-109,112,121,125,126-127
 property \[ \Bigcap \Bigcap 89,90,97,97 \Bigcap \Bigcap \Bigcap 117,118 \Bigcap
 R
                            \Pi \Pi \Pi \Gamma 6.17.24.25.36.37.38 - 39.59.104.120.123
 rational beings
 Rawls, J. \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] 9.10.13—14 \[ \]
 —on agency \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 34-135.157-164.177 \square
—on choice \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 154,156—166,176—178,180—181 \Box
—on community [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ 61—65,134—135,147—152 [
—conception of good \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 94, 116-117, 134-135, 138,
         154-157,161-167,170-171,182
60-61 □
42-43,105,116-132
□ □89--92,90 □ □ □
-as virtue \[ \bigcap 
—on justification □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ 80,104,108—109,111—132 □
—liberalism of \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 13-14.15.18-19.21-24.28.66-
        67,95,116—120,147—148,176
```



```
—on reflection \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 152-161,164,165,170,172,180-181 \Pi
 □ "
reciprocity \Pi \Pi \Pi 28,52-53,77-78,106-108,106 \Pi \Pi \Pi 112,126,
                                 148,151 □
 reflection, Rawls' account of \[ \bigcup \Bigc
                                 170,172,180—181
  reflective equilibrium \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \
  retributive justice \( \Pi \) \( \Pi
 right \[ \bigcup \bigc
                              134,149 - 150,154 - 157,164,165 \square
  88-90,120,133,138,155-157,167,168-172,177
  118 □
  —epistemological [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] 22—23, 26, 64, 120—122.
                                156—157,168—172 □
  154—157,167
  rights \Box \Box \Box xii—xvi, 1, 2, 3—4, 9—10, 16, 18—19, 33, 135—138, 139,
                                140—141,155,165
 —abortion \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 197—198,202 \Pi
 Rorty, R 🔲 🗎 🗀 194 🗆
 Rousseau, I. I. | 105 |
```



```
—bounds of \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 55-59,61-65,79-82,133-134,139-147.
          149,150—151,152—153,157,171,173,182—183
 153.178,179—180
 —identity of \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box 50,55-59,62,62 \Box \Box \Box \Box 64,74,79,85,93,94,
          134, 142—143, 144, 145, 150, 152—153, 157, 159—161, 166, 179
          180—182 □
 103,132,143-144,150-151 \square
 79,80,121,152—153,154,157
 80,94,114,117,120,121-122,133,149,157,170,176
62,80,84,85,92—93,94,95,117,121,133,134,157,159—160,169,
          172,175,182
-unity of \square \square \square \square \square 8-9, 13, 19-22, 23, 24, 52-53, 64, 133-135.
        150 □
181
—intersubjective and intrasujective forms of
         self - realization \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi R1-82 \square
social contract \[ \bigcap \bi
Spinoza, B. \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 132 \Pi
```



```
subject
 53-59,62-63,64,134,147,149,152,153,157,161,169,172,183
-of possession
                                  \square \square \square \square \square 20-21, 54-59, 62, 79-82, 84, 85, 92-98,
           103,117,121,133,134,140,141,143—147,149,152—153,157,159—
          160,172,175,182
79,93,94,95,138,139,144
-radically situated \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \
          79.93.100 - 101.138.139.144.147.149.153
175,176—177 □
T
Taylor, C. □ □ □ X,160—161,175 □ □ □ 186 □
                     \Pi \Pi \Pi 3.4.7.10.17 - 19.21.50.81.155.175.177 \Pi
teleology
П
13
utilitarianism \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 1.3-5.5 \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 16.17-18.22.36.50-51.63
         165—167,168,173—174
—and rights \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi 2.135,138.140—141.155.165 \Pi
```




V

W

Weber, M. | | | 175 |

Wolin, S. | | | | | | | | | | |







				u				"																	
														_											
								_							. –										
						_											_			_	_				
				_		_	_	_							_	_	_		_		_				
							_	_						] [	_	_									
			Ц	╚	_	╚	╚	╚	╚		][			] [	_		П	П		П		П			_
									П				_		_	_	_	_	_		Ц		_	_	
								_		_							_	_	_	_					
											_	_	_		_		_		_		Ц			П	
					П							Ц	Ц		Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц
П					П	_																_			
П		_		_	_	_	_	_	_					][	_	_	_	_	_	_					
						П	Ц	Ц	П	П	П	П	П		П	П	Ц	Ц	П	Ц	Ц	Ц		П	
П	Ц												Г	1 -						п					
											П	П	П					Ш П		Ш I П	П	П	П		
											ш		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		




## ПППП




 $\square$  1999  $\square$  6  $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$ 












```
ппппп
```























пппп 



```
\Pi \Pi \Pi \Pi .1997 \Pi 12 \Pi
```



П П



